

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 102070

53

ORIGIN SS-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 NEA-06 SSO-00 CCO-00 RSC-01 /018 R

66605

DRAFTED BY: S/S-O:WLITTREL

APPROVED BY: S/S-O:PSARROS

S/S:WLUERS

----- 045886

O 161650Z MAY 74 ZFF4

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMCONSUL JERUSALEM IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 102070

LIMDIS, TOSEC 704, DELIVER TO S/S TEAM FOR THE SECRETARY

FOLLOWING REPEAT NATO 2693 SENT ACTION SECSTATE
SECDEF INFO GENEVA ALL NATO CAPITALS DUBLIN USCINCEUR
USDOCOSOUTH USNMR SHAPE CINCLANT USLOSACLANT, MAY
15TH:

QUOTE C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 2693

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJECT: PERMREPS LUNCH DISCUSSION, MAY 14

GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL

SUMMARY: IN ADDITION TO ATLANTIC RELATIONS (SEE SEPTTEL), PERMREPS
AT LUNCH MAY 14 DISCUSSED CSCE CONSULTATIONS IN NATO, AND
SYG LUNS PROPOSED JUNE 7 NAC MEETING ATTENDED BY EXPERTS.
SEVERAL PERMREPS OPPOSED ANY EARLY DISCUSSION OF STAGE III.
SOME ASKED ABOUT RUMORS THE SOVIETS WERE NO LONGER PRESSING
FOR SUMMIT AND WERE TALKING OF RECESS IN STAGE II.
LUNS ASKED PRIVATELY
ABOUT REPORTED US INITIATIVE TO EASE GREEK-TURKISH AEGEAN
DISPUTE. OTHER SUBJECTS WERE CENTRAL EUROPEAN PIPELINE,
GERMAN SPY CASE, NEXT PERMREP VISIT TO MED AND CINCSOUTH,
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 102070

AND IRELAND AND NATO. END SUMMARY.

CSCE

1. SYG LUNS RAISED SUBJECT OF CSCE AT PERMREPS LUNCH MAY 14 AND SAID HE WOULD SCHEDULE A COUNCIL MEETING JUNE 7 ATTENDED BY THOSE EXPERTS WHO WANTED TO ATTEND. PURPOSE WOULD BE TO TAKE STOCK OF STAGE II TO DATE AND TO PREPARE FOR MINISTERIAL DISCUSSION AT OTTAWA.

2. DANISH PERMREP SVART INDICATED COPENHAGEN WAS NOT ENTHUSIASTIC, BUT WOULD GO ALONG WITH A JUNE 7 NAC ON CSCE PROVIDED IT WAS DEVOTED TO STOCK-TAKING AND WAS FOR THE PURPOSE OF KEEPING THE COUNCIL INFORMED. DENMARK WOULD OBJECT TO DISCUSSION OF PHASE III FOR REASONS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED: THE POSSIBILITY OF LEAKS AND THE UNCERTAINTY OF THE DELIBERATIONS IN GENEVA.

3. TURKISH AMBASSADOR ERALP AGREED IT WOULD BE PREMATURE FOR A JUNE 7 NAC TO DECIDE WHAT CSCE OUTCOME WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE, SO THE COUNCIL MEETING SHOULD BE CONFINED TO PREPARING FOR THE MINISTERIAL MEETING DISCUSSION.

4. BELGIAN PERMREP DE STAERCKE SAID FORCEFULLY THAT THE COUNCIL HAD TO TAKE COGNIZANCE OF THE CSCE SITUATION OR THE LARGER ALLIED COUNTRIES WILL CONSULT BILATERALLY AND THEN ENTER NATO CONSULTATIONS WITH FIXED POSITIONS. (IN COURSE OF THE LUNCH, IN RESPONSE TO DE STAERCKE'S QUESTIONS LAST WEEK, I DREW UPON STATE 99392 ABOUT SECRETARY KISSINGER'S CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING CSCE WITH FONMINS CALLAGHAN AND SCHEEL.)

5. HARTOGH SAID THE NETHERLANDS FAVORED A NAC JUNE 7 AND THAT ITS CSCE EXPERTS WOULD ATTEND.

6. CANADIAN AMBASSADOR MENZIES SUGGESTED THE COUNCIL SHOULD BEGIN TO CONSIDER SOME OF THE NON-CSCE FACTORS THAT MIGHT ARGUE FOR A THIRD STAGE AT THE SUMMIT. OTHER FACTORS MIGHT RELATE TO BILATERAL RELATIONS BETWEEN THE US AND THE USSR OR OTHER ALLIES AND THE SOVIETS, OR THE GENERAL EAST-WEST RELATIONSHIP. HE SUGGESTED PERMREPS SEEK INSTRUCTIONS AS TO CAPITALS' VIEWS ON NON-CSCE FACTORS SO THAT THESE COULD BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT IN A THOUGHTFUL WAY IN DISCUSSING THE LEVEL FOR A THIRD STAGE.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 102070

7. FRENCH PERMREP SAID ONE IMPORTANT POINT TO KEEP IN MIND IS THAT CSCE IS AN EAST-WEST UNDERTAKING AND THE LEVEL AT WHICH IT IS CONCLUDED WILL BE COMPARED WITH THE LEVEL AT WHICH THE ALLIES CONCLUDE THEIR ATLANTIC DECLARATION. HE IMPLIED IT WOULD BE BAD TO HAVE THE CSCE FINALE AT THE SUMMIT BUT TO HAVE THE ATLANTIC DECLARATION SIGNED BY FOREIGN MINISTERS. HE INTENDED TO MAKE THIS CASE TO PARIS. DE ROSE'S REMARKS WERE WELL-RECEIVED

AROUND THE TABLE.

8. TURKISH AND NORWEGIAN PERMREPS, ERALP AND BUSCH, SAID THEY HAD HEARD RUMORS OUT OF GENEVA THAT THE SOVIETS WERE TALKING ABOUT CALLING A RECESS IN CSCE AND HAD LOST INTEREST IN PRESSING FOR STAGE III AT THE SUMMIT. THEY NOTED THIS INFORMATION DIFFERED FROM THE REPORT THE US HAD GIVEN THEM ON THE KISSINGER-GROMYKO MEETING OF MAY 7 (STATE 96199).

9. BUSCH ASKED IF I HAD HEARD RUMORS THE SOVIETS WERE EASING THE PRESSURE FOR A THIRD STAGE SUMMIT. (A MEMBER OF THE NORWEGIAN DELEGATION ALSO ASKED A MISSION OFFICER ABOUT THIS AND ADDED THE FINNS HAD TOLD THE NORWEGIANS THAT THE SOVIETS WERE TAKING THE LINE THAT FACT THAT SO MANY WESTERN COUNTRIES HAD NO GOVERNMENTS ARGUED FOR A RECESS IN STAGE II.) COMMENT: WOULD APPRECIATE INFORMATION FROM CSCE DEL AND WASHINGTON.

PRESS REPORT OF US ACTIONS REGARDING AEGEAN DISPUTE

10. LUNS SPOKE PRIVATELY TO ME ABOUT THE MAY 11 FIGARO ARTICLE ENTITLED "US ATTEMPTING MEDIATION TO PREVENT GREEK-TURKISH CONFRONTATION IN THE AEGEAN SEA," ONE PASSAGE OF WHICH SAID AMBASSADOR TASCA HAD CALLED ON THE GREEK PM AND CHIEF OF STAFF, AND THEN HAD GONE TO TURKEY. REFERRING TO HIS CYPRUS WATCHING BRIEF, LUNS ASKED ME TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE ACCURACY OF THIS STORY. ACTION REQUESTED: INFORMATION ABOUT ANY US BILATERAL EFFORTS TO DAMPEN DOWN DISPUTE THAT I CAN PASS ALONG TO LUNS.

CENTRAL EUROPEAN PIPELINE

11. HARTOGH REFERRED TO AN ISSUE CONCERNING THE CENTRAL EUROPEAN PIPELINE. HE INDICATED THERE WAS A BILL DUE OF SOME CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 102070

20 MILLION FRENCH FRANCES; THE US WAS APPARENTLY TRYING TO REDUCE ITS CONTRIBUTION TO ZERO AND REPORTEDLY HAD NOT PAID SO THIS BILL COULD NOT BE MET. THE US COULD LOSE ITS VOTING RIGHTS. COULD THE US NOT PAY WITH A RESERVATION, THEN SEEK A DIFFERENT COST SHARE AND ASK REIMBURSEMENT?

12. I SAID I WOULD INQUIRE ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC POINT, BUT MY PERSONAL IMPRESSION WAS THAT THE OPERATION OF THE PIPELINE NEEDED SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE NOT JUST IN THE INTEREST OF THE UNITED STATES BUT FOR ALL THE COUNTRIES INVOLVED. THERE SHOULD BE SOME ADJUSTMENTS AS BETWEEN COMMERCIAL AND MILITARY USERS. THE PIPELINE NEED NOT TAKE FUNDS FROM NATO DEFENSE BUDGETS, BUT RATHER COULD BE CLOSER TO PAYING ITS OWN WAY IF RATES WERE ADJUSTED.

13. DE STAERCKE AGREED. IF THE COUNTRY THAT WOULD REMAIN NAMELESS (FRANCE) DID NOT ADDRESS THE ISSUE, HE PERSONALLY FELT BELGIUM SHOULD CONSIDER PULLING OUT OF THE PIPELINE SYSTEM.

GERMAN SPY CASE

14. SRG PERMREP KRAPF REFERRED TO THE GUILLAUME SPY CASE. ACCORDING TO GERMAN AND NATO SECURITY OFFICIALS, GUILLAUME DID NOT REPEAT NOT HAVE OFFICIAL ACCESS TO NATO DOCUMENTS. HOWEVER, DURING BRANDT'S NORWEGIAN VACATION WHEN GUILLAUME WAS HIS ONLY STAFF MAN BONN HAD SENT SOME COSMIC TOP SECRET MATERIAL --- ONE ITEM BEING KRAPF'S REPORT OF SAN CLEMENTE MEETING--- AND GUILLAUME HAD HANDLED IT. LUNS REMARKED THAT GUILLAUME MUST HAVE HEARD A GOOD DEAL OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION IN THE CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE.

15. KRAPF SAID THAT WITH REGARD TO THE FRG'S NEGOTIATIONS WITH THE EAST, THE COMMUNIST COUNTRIES MUST HAVE KNOWN EVERY MOVE GERMANY INTENDED TO MAKE.

PERMREPS NEXT TRIP TO THE MEDITERRANEAN

16. ERALP REFERRED TO THE SUGGESTION THAT PERMREPS VISIT THE MEDITERRANEAN (ITALY, GREECE, TURKEY) IN JULY. SEVERAL PERMREPS THOUGHT THEY SHOULD GO TO CINCSOUTH IN SEPTEMBER IN CONNECTION WITH EXERCISE DEEP FURRON RATHER THAN IN JULY.
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 102070

IRELAND AND NATO

17. MENZIES SAID THAT FROM THE STANDPOINT OF NINE/FIFTEEN CONSULTATIONS, IRELAND WAS WELCOME TO JOIN NATO AS FAR AS CANADA WAS CONCERNED. PERHAPS IRELAND'S INTIMATE INVOLVEMENT WITH THE EC MAKES IT LESS "NEUTRAL" AND THEREFORE CLOSER TO NATO.

18. WHEN DE STAERCKE AND LUNS REFERRED TO IRELAND'S PROBLEMS WITH GREAT BRITAIN, UK PERMREP PECK SAID THE OFFICIAL IRISH POSITION WAS THAT IF THESE PROBLEMS WERE SETTLED, IRELAND WOULD CONSIDER JOINING NATO.

REPORTED SACLANT CONTACTS WITH SOUTH AFRICA

19. ITALIAN PERMREP CATALANO REFERRED TO AN ARTICLE THAT HAD APPEARED IN DUTCH NEWSPAPER DE VOLKSKRAND SAYING THE NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES (SACLANT) HAD HAD CONTACTS IN THE PENTAGON WITH SOUTH AFRICAN REPRESENTATIVES CONCERNING AREAS OUTSIDE THE TREATY AREA. THERE WAS ALSO A REFERENCE TO A SECRET PLAN TO EXPAND SACLANT'S ZONE OF OPERATIONS INTO THE INDIAN OCEAN. (USIS

THE HAGUE'S 4956 OF DECEMBER 8, 1972, REPORTED A SIMILAR STORY
IN DE VOLKSKRAND WHICH PRETESTED ALLEGED ATTEMPT TO EXPAND
SACLANT'S AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY TO INCLUDE THE CAPE OF GOOD
HOPE AND INTO THE INDIAN OCEAN.)

20. CATALANO SAID HE HAD NO IDEA AS TO THE ACCURACY OF THE ARTICLE
BUT THAT WHEN THE DPC HAD AUTHORIZED SACLANT (PO 72/381, NOVEMBER 6,
1972) TO DO CONTINGENCY PLANNING OUTSIDE THE TREATY AREA, IT HAD
ENJOINED THAT THIS PLANING WAS TO INVOLVE NATO HEADQUARTERS ONLY.
LUNS AGREED. ACTION REQUESTED: INFORMATION ON ANY SACLANT
CONTACTS, AS ALLEGED.
RUMSFELD

UNQUOTE RUSH

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TERRITORIAL WATERS, ESPIONAGE, SUMMIT MEETINGS, AEGEAN SEA, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, TOSEC 704
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 16 MAY 1974
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE102070
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: O:WLITTREL
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740121-0379
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740542/aaaabkrq.tel
Line Count: 237
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN SS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: morefirh
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 27 MAR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <27 MAR 2002 by morefirh>; APPROVED <27 MAR 2002 by morefirh>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: PERMREPS LUNCH DISCUSSION, MAY 14 GENEVA FOR CSCE DEL
TAGS: PFOR, UR, EI, GE, GR, TU, NATO, CSCE
To: JERUSALEM
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005